



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A



AD-A144 337

UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010-5422

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-20784-Gc
[N,N-diethyl-d, ?-mandelic acid amide (DEM)]
STUDY NO. 75-51-0486-84
NOVEMBER 1983 - APRIL 1984



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

84 08 09 069

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GC	OVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
75-51-0486-84	A144 337			
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
Topical Hazard Evaluation PRogram of Ca		Final, November 1983 -		
Insect Repellent AI3-20784-Gc, US Depart		April 1984		
Agriculture Proprietary Chemical, Study 0486-84, November 1983 - April 1984	/ NO. /5-51-	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)		
John V. Wade, DVM, CPT(P), VC				
John G. Harvey, Jr.				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
Commander		ANEX & WORK ON!! NUMBERS		
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency				
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422) 			
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE		
Commander		November 1983 - April 1984		
US Army Health Services Command		13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from	Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
MONITORING NOCHO! HAME & ADDRESS!! WILLIAM ING.	ounioning Onice,	io. Secont i censs. (or une report)		
		Unclassified		
		154 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
		SCHEDULE		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Blo	ck 20, If different from	m Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Iden	•			
AI3-20784-Gc ALD				
Skin Irritation Guinea Pig Sensitization Eye Irritation				
Photochemical Irritation				
Topical Hazard Evaluation Program				
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if respecting and identi-	ity by block number			
Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) produced minand of the skin surrounding an abrasion cornea and, in addition, some injury to eyes of rabbits. Ocular injury noted of following application and was resolved	ld primary ir . It produce the conjunct was decreased in all test a	d moderate injury to the iva upon application to the by washing with water animals by seven days post-		
application. Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) did not produce sensitization or photo-				

chemical irritation and was relatively nontoxic upon ingestion. Recommend that chemical AI3-20784-Gc [N,N-diethyl-d, 1-mandelic acid amide (DEM) be approved

DD 1 JAN 79 1473 EDITION OF F HOVEE IS OSSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. for further testing as ... candidate insect repellent if its entomological efficacy is superior to currently used repellents. This chemical should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosal surfaces. Should the chemical be accidently introduced into the eye, it should be flushed with copious amounts of water.



RE: Study No. 75-51-0486-84
Report does not contain proprietary information. The name is not given but the number is concerning insect propellent. Only the Dept. of Agriculture and AEHA know the name associated with the number.

Per Lt. Col. R. T. Callis, AEHA

Acces	sion For		1	
NTIS	GRA&I		A	
DTIC	TAB			
Unann	ounced			
Just 1	rication	2.04	147	
Ву	TITLE PR CA		re	
Distribution/				
Avai	labilit			
Avail and/or				
Dist	Speci	al		
A-1				

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CPT(P) Wade/dlc/AUTOVON 584-3980

U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010 - 5422

REPLY TO

HSHB-OT/WP

27.06 384

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-20784-Gc, N,N-diethyl-d, ℓ -mandelic acid amide (DEM), Study

No. 75-51-0486-84, November 1983 - April 1984

Executive Secretary Armed Forces Pest Management Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20307

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose, essential findings, and major recommendations of the inclosed report follow:

- a. Purpose. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-20784-Gc. N,N-diethyl-d, ℓ -mandelic acid amide (DEM), by means of laboratory animal studies using New Zealand White rabbits, Spraque-Dawley rats, and albino Hartley guinea pigs.
- b. Essential Findings. Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion. It produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva upon application to the eyes of rabbits. Occular injury noted was decreased by washing with water following application and was resolved in all test animals by 7 days postapplication. Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) did not produce sensitization or photochemical irritation and was relatively nontoxic upon ingestion.
- c. Major Recommendation. Recommend that chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent if its entomological efficacy is superior to currently used repellents. This chemical should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosal surfaces.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl

ADEL C. GAYDOS, M.D. Colonel, MC Director, Occupational and Environmental Health

HQDA (DASG-PSP) wo incl Cdr, HSC (HSCL-P) Comdt, AHS (HSHA-IPM) Dir, Advisory Cen on Tox, NRC (2 cy) USDA, ARS (DR. Terrence McGovern) USDA, ARS-Southern Region (3 cy) Cdr, USAMRDC [SGRD-DPM/LTC(P) Reinert]



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010 - 5422

REPLY TO

HSHB-OT/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM

OF

US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-20784 GC [N,N-diethyl-d, \ell-mandelic acid amide (DEM)] STUDY NO. 75-51-0486-84 NOVEMBER 1983 - APRIL 1984

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, DOD, Armed Forces Pest Management Board, Forest Glen Section, WRAMC, Washington DC, 14 November 1983.
- b. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man and Animals Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 5 January 1984.
- c. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administrations; titled Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Topical Hazard Evaluation Program Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), January 1982.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-20784-Gc (DEM).
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluation of the candidate insect repellent AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits, Spraque-Dawley rats, and albino Hartley guinea pigs. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed by this Agency follows:*†

The studies reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

^{*} In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals"; US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; Public Health Service; National Institutes of Health (NIH) Publication No. 80-23, revised 1978, reprinted April 1980.

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Chemical AI3-20784-Gc produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category II (ref Appendix A)
0.5 mL technical grade chemical applied to each of six rabbits.		
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL technical grade chemical to one eye of each of nine New Zealand White rabbits. Three of the nine rabbits had the eye flushed with warm water for I minute, 25 seconds after application.	Chemical AI3-20784-Gc produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, produced some injury to the conjunctiva. Washing with warm water decreased the occular injury noted.	USAEHA Category E (ref Appendix A)
APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)		
Oral Raís (male) - no diluent	ALD 2,222 mg/Kg	This chemical is relatively non-toxic by ingestion.
PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits A single 0.05 mL application of a 25% (w/v) solution of AI3-20784-Gc and of a 10% (w/v) Oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95% ethanol was applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to ultraviolet (UV) light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.	Chemical AI3-20784-Gc did not produce photo-chemical irritation under test conditions.	This chemical is not expected to produce photochemical irritation in humans.

Test

Results

Interpretation

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES (continued)

Control Following UV exposure of the rabbits, 0.05 mL of the test chemical, positive control, and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked at 24, 48, and 72 hours.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (female)
Intradermal (ID) injections
of 0.1 mL of a 1.0% (w/v)
solution of chemical
AI3-20784-Gc or of
dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)*
in a mixture containing
1 volume of propylene
glycol and 29 volumes of
saline.

Ten test guinea pigs were given 10 sensitizing doses over a 3-week period. After a 2-week rest, they were challenged with ID injections of the test chemical.

Control
Ten positive control
guinea pigs were sensitized over 3 weeks with
DNCB. After a 2-week
rest, they were challenged
with ID injections of DNCB.

Challenge dose of chemical AI3-20784-Gc did not produce a sensitization reaction.

Challenge dose of DNCB in positive control guinea pigs produced a moderate to marked sensitization reaction in 9 out of 10 guinea pigs.

This chemical is not expected to produce sensitization in humans.

DNCB produced a sensitization reaction, indicating that these guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

^{*} A known skin sensitizer.

- 5. CONCLUSION. Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion. It produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva upon application to the eyes of rabbits. Occular injury noted was decreased by washing with water following application and was resolved in all test animals by 7 days postapplication. Chemical AI3-20784-Gc (DEM) did not produce sensitization or photochemical irritation and was relatively nontoxic upon ingestion. These studies were monitored by Analytical Quality Assurance Office (see Appendix B).
- 6. RECOMMENDATION. Recommend that chemical AI3-20784-Gc [N,N-diethyl-d, ℓ -mandelic acid amide (DEM)] be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent if its entomological efficacy is superior to currently used repellents. This chemical should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosal surfaces. Should the chemical be accidentally introduced into the eye, it should be flushed with copious amounts of water.

JOHN V. WADE, DVM

CPT(P), VC

Laboratory Animal
Veterinary Officer
Toxicology Division

Il Wat own

JOHN G. HARVEY, JR

Biological Laboratory (Technician

Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

MAURICE H. WEEKS

Chief, Toxicology Division

APPENDIX A

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

<u>CATEGORY I</u> - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

<u>CATEGORY IV</u> - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

<u>CATEGORY V</u> - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. <u>Compounds noninjurious to the eye</u>. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. <u>Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. <u>Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Analytical Quality Assurance Office certifies the following:

- a. These studies were conducted in accordance with:
- (1) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology Division, USAEHA.
- (2) Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 1983 rev, Part 58, Good Laboratory Practice for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies.
- (3) Final Rule, Pesticide Programs; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; 48 Federal Register (FR) 53963-53969, 29 November 1983.
- b. Facilities were inspected during its operational phase to ensure compliance with paragraph a above.
- c. The information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw data generated during the course of conducting these studies.

PAUL V. SNEERINGER, Ph.D. Chief, Analytical Quality Assurance Office

